1	MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar N PIETER M. O'LEARY, Nevada Bar No. 15297	
2	LEO S. WOLPERT, Nevada Bar No. 12658	•
3	MCLETCHIE LAW 602 South Tenth Street	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
5	Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Fax: (702) 425-8220	
	Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com	
6	LEAH WIEDERHORN (pro hac vice)	
7	BRITTANY SHRADER (pro hac vice)	
8	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE DEA 8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820	F
9	Silver Spring, MD 20910-3819	
10	Email: leah.wiederhorn@nad.org; brittany.shra Counsel for Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A	
11		
12	UNITED STATES I DISTRICT O	
13		
14	ANDREA HOLLINGSWORTH, an individual; A.R.H., a minor by and through	Case No.: 2:21-cv-
15	her legal guardian and/or parent, Andrea	
16	Hollingsworth; and A.D.H., a minor by and through her legal guardian and/or parent,	
17	Andrea Hollingsworth,	EXTEND DISCO
·	Plaintiffs,	SCHEDULING O
18	Wo.	(FOURTH REQU
19	VS.	
20	CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, Nevada, a Municipal Corporation; JACQUELINE	
21	GRAVATT, in her official capacity as Chief	
22	of the North Las Vegas Police Department; MICHAEL L. ROSE, an individual; ERIC	
23	SPANNBAUER, an individual; DOES I – X,	
24	Defendants.	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		

Case No.: 2:21-cv-02230-CDS-NJK

ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY PLAN AND **SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES** (FOURTH REQUEST)

Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A.R.H., and A.D.H. ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys of record, Margaret A. McLetchie, Pieter M. O'Leary, and Leo S. Wolpert, with the law firm of McLetchie Law, Leah Wiederhorn, and Brittany Shrader of the National Association of the Deaf, and Defendants, the City of North Las Vegas, Jacqueline Gravatt, Michael L. Rose, and Eric Spannbauer (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, Robert W. Freeman, Frank A. Toddre, Matthew E. Freeman, with the law firm of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP (collectively "the Parties"), hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines an additional sixty (60) days. This Stipulation is being entered in good faith and not for purposes of delay. This is the fourth request for an extension in this matter.

I. STATUS OF DISCOVERY.

A. PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY

- 1. Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated December 2, 2022;
- 2. Plaintiffs' Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas Set One, dated March 2, 2023;
- 3. Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas Set One, dated March 2, 2023;
- 4. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant City of North Las Vegas Set One, dated March 2, 2023;
- 5. Plaintiffs' First Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated March 2, 2023;
- 6. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Requests for Admission Set One, dated March 22, 2023.
- 7. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Set One, dated March 22, 2023.
- 8. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Request for Production of Documents Set One, dated March 22, 2023;

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
NCOM	14
IIIGAIIO	15
Zww www	16
>	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

1

2

3

	9.	Plaintiffs' Second Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Document
Pursuant	to Fed.	R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated April 24, 2023;

- 10. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production to Defendant City of North Las Vegas - Set Two, dated April 24, 2023;
- 11. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Admission to Defendant Michael L. Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;
- 12. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production to Defendant Michael L. Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;
- 13. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories to Defendant Michael L. Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;
- 14. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingworth's First Supplemental Responses to Defendants' Request for Production of Documents - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;
- 15. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Request for Admission to Defendant Eric Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;
- 16. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Request for Production to Defendant Eric Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;
- 17. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories to Defendant Eric Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;
- 18. Plaintiffs' Third Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated May 10, 2023;
- 19. Plaintiff A.D.H.'s Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas -Set One, dated May 18, 2023;
- 20. Plaintiff A.D.H.'s Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set One, dated June 27, 2023;
- 21. Plaintiffs' Fourth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated June 27, 2023.

DEFENDANTS' DISCOVERY В.

1. Defendants' Initial List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R.

to Fed. R.	3
3	4
dated Fe	5
5 4	6
dated Febr	7
5	8
3, 2023;	9
	10
Pursuant to	11
2	12
Pursuant to	13
8	14
Hollingsw	141516
5 9	16
, Hollingsw	17
	18
Hollingsw	19
$ $ $ $	20
Hollingsw	21
$\begin{vmatrix} \cdot \\ \end{vmatrix}$	22
Hollingsw	23
1	24
Hollingsw	25
$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \end{bmatrix}$	26
Hollingsw	27
1	28

2

CIV. F. 20.1 dated	December 2, 2022	∠,	

- 2. Defendants' First Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant Civ. P. 26.1, dated February 3, 2023;
 - Defendants' Interrogatories to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth (Set One), bruary 3, 2023;
- Defendants' Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiffs (Set One), uary 3, 2023;
- Defendants' Requests for Admission to Plaintiffs (Set One), dated February
- Defendants' Second Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents o Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated March 20, 2023;
- Defendants' Third Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents o Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated April 20, 2023;
- Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff Andrea orth Request for Admission (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;
- Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Response to Plaintiff Andrea orth Request for Production of Documents (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;
- 0. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Answers to Plaintiff Andrea orth's Interrogatories (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;
- Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea orth's Requests for Admission – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;
- 2. Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea orth's Requests for Production – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;
- 3. Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea orth's Interrogatories – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;
- 4. Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea orth's Requests for Admission – Set One, dated May 25, 2023;
 - 5. Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

26

27

28

1

Hollingsworth's Red	quests for Production	 Set One. 	dated May	v 25.	2023

- Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Answers to Plaintiff Andrea 16. Hollingsworth's Interrogatories – Set One, dated May 25, 2023;
- 17. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set Two, dated June 7, 2023;
- 18. 17. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set One, dated August 1, 2023
- 19. Defendants' Fourth Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated June 7, 2023;
- 20. Defendant's Fifth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated July 19, 2023;
- 21. Defendant's Sixth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated July 27, 2023;
- 22. Defendant's Seventh Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 8, 2023;
- 23. Defendant's Eighth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 11, 2023;
- 24. Defendant's Ninth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 24, 2023.

II. DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED.

The Parties are actively conducting discovery. The Parties are meeting and conferring regarding discovery responses and also are supplementing discovery responses.

Plaintiffs need additional time to review the documents produced by Defendants, including in the multiple additional supplements to initial disclosures by Defendants. These supplements include further body worn camera (BWC) footage and copies of prior BWC footage that require careful review and/or transcription, and review by experts.

Plaintiffs have also reached out to Defendants regarding possible dates for depositions, which they intend to schedule for late October and early November.

11 12 13 ATTORNEYS AT LAW 602 SOUTH TENTH STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F) WW NVLITIGATION COM 14 15 16 17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Parties' primary remaining discovery tasks include: (1) addressing any remaining meet and confer issues and finishing written discovery; ((2) scheduling of depositions; and (3) expert discovery. Further, the Parties intend to save resources by having any expert disclosures follow the completion of fact discovery, to avoid the time and expense related to supplementing reports.

III. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF WHY EXTENSION IS NECESSARY.

This is the fourth request for an extension of discovery deadlines in this matter. The Parties request that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended an additional sixty (60) days so that the Parties may complete the tasks above.

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, a stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be submitted to the Court no later than twentyone (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline, and that a request made within twenty-one (21) days must be supported by a showing of good cause. Here, almost all of the deadlines the Parties seek to extend are outside of the twenty-one (21) day window, the deadline for initial exert disclosures, however, is within the twenty-one (21) day window. Thus, the Parties must establish that good cause exists to extend these deadlines. Pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, the Parties submit that good cause exists for the extension requested.

The Parties have been diligently conducting discovery and engaged in extensive meet and confer efforts (reflected in the multiple updates to written discovery) that they hope will avoid the need for motion practice, but an extension is needed to efficiently continue to conduct discovery, analyze the information provided, and manage the case. The Parties are resolving issues and meeting and conferring regarding related issues.

Additionally, Plaintiffs are working to schedule depositions. However, they wish to ensure that they obtain all needed written discovery from Defendants before doing so,

Plaintiffs need additional time to address these outstanding issues, propound any additional discovery, and take depositions. Further, as noted above, an extension would provide ample time for their expert to review the produced documents and prepare expert

disclosures. The Parties contend that it is more efficient to allow further discovery to be completed before initial expert disclosures to minimize the need for supplementation.

Finally, the Parties together request this in good faith and to further the resolution of this complicated case on the merits, and not for any purpose of delay.

There is thus good cause for the extension. "Good cause to extend a discovery deadline exists 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." *Derosa v. Blood Sys., Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-0137-JCM-NJK, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108235, 2013 WL 3975764, at 1 (D. Nev. Aug. 1, 2013) (quoting *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 (providing that the Rules of Civil Procedure "should be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the Parties to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding"). The Parties have been diligent in litigating this matter. Thus, the standard to extend all deadlines is satisfied here.

Based on the foregoing stipulation and proposed deadlines plan, the Parties thus respectfully request an extension of time to extend the discovery in this matter to enable to them to conduct necessary discovery in this matter and so that this matter is fairly resolved on the merits.

SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING

DEADLINES

IV.

Deadline	Current Deadline	Proposed New Deadline
Amend Pleadings and Add Parties	February 7, 2022	unchanged
Initial Expert Disclosures	October 5, 2023	December 4, 2023
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	November 7, 2023	January 6, 2024 ¹
Discovery Cut-Off	December 5, 2023	February 3, 2024 ²

¹ Sixty (60) days from November 7, 2023, is Saturday, January 6, 2024.

² Sixty (60) days from December 5, 2023, is Saturday, February 3, 2024

Dispositive Motions	January 3, 2024	March 3, 2024 ³
Joint Pretrial Order	February 5, 2024	April 5, 2024 (If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the Court.)

Based on the foregoing stipulation and proposed deadlines plan, the Parties request that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended an additional sixty (60) days so that the parties may conduct necessary discovery.

ORDER

The Court reminds the parties that they must conduct discovery diligently. Given the lengthy discovery period, the Court is not inclined to grant further extensions.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 26, 2023

> Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge

³ Sixty (60) days from January 3, 2024, is Sunday, March 3, 2024

1	
2	DATED this 25th day of September, 2023.
3	MCLETCHIE LAW
4	
5	By: <u>/s/ Margaret A. McLetchie</u> MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE,
6	Nevada Bar No. 10931
7	PIETER M. O'LEARY, Nevada Bar No. 15297
8	LEO S. WOLPERT, Nevada Bar No. 12658
9	MCLETCHIE LAW
10	602 South Tenth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
11	Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Fax: (702) 425-8220
12	Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com
13	LEAH WIEDERHORN (pro hac vice)
14	BRITTANY SHRADER (pro hac vice) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE
15	DEAF
16	8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3819
17	Email: leah.wiederhorn@nad.org; brittany.shrader@nad.org;
18	, ,
19	Counsel for Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A.R.H., and A.D.H.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

DATED this 25th day of September, 2023.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: /s/ Frank A. Toddre, II ROBERT W. FREEMAN Nevada Bar No. 3062 Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com FRANK A. TODDRE, II Frank.Toddre@lewisbrisbois.com MATTHEW E. FREEMAN Nevada Bar No 14198 Matt.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 893-3789 Attorneys for Defendants